

Updated: August 22, 2018

**U.S. SUPREME COURT AMICUS CASES – MERITS – 2017-2018 TERM**

Case Name	Won	Loss	Other	Issue	Comment
<a href="#">South Dakota v. Wayfair Inc.</a> (2018)	X			Finance: Should the U.S. Supreme Court abrogate the <i>Quill Corp. v. North Dakota</i> , 504 U.S. 298 (1992), sales-tax-only, physical-presence requirement	Decided: June 21, 2018 Held: 5-4, Because the physical presence rule of <i>Quill</i> is unsound and incorrect, <i>Quill Corp. v. North Dakota</i> , 504 U. S. 298, and <i>National Bellas Hess, Inc. v. Department of Revenue of Ill.</i> , 386 U. S. 753, are overruled.

**U.S. SUPREME COURT AMICUS CASES – CERTIORARI PETITION - 2017-2018 TERM**

<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Won</b>	<b>Lost</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<a href="#">South Dakota v. Wayfair Inc.</a> (2018)	X			Finance: Should the U.S. Supreme Court abrogate the <i>Quill Corp. v. North Dakota</i> , 504 U.S. 298 (1992), sales-tax-only, physical-presence requirement	Review Granted January 12, 2018
<a href="#">Window Rock Unified Sch. Dist. v. Reeves</a> (2018)		X		Legal System: Whether a tribal court has jurisdiction to adjudicate employment claims by Arizona school district employees against their Arizona school district employer that operates on the Navajo reservation pursuant to a state constitutional mandate to provide a general and uniform public education to all Arizona children	Review Denied January 8, 2018

### U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS AMICUS CASES – 2017-2018

Case Name	Won	Lost	Other	Issue	Comment
<a href="#">Regents of the University of California v. U.S. Department of Homeland Security</a> (9th Cir. <b>pending</b> )				Equity & Discrimination: Amicus brief in support of motion for a preliminary injunction to bar United States government from terminating the DACA program	Argued May 15, 2018  Amicus brief filed March 20, 2018
<a href="#">Vidal v. Nielsen</a> (2d Cir. <b>pending</b> )				Equity & Discrimination: Amicus brief in support of upholding district court’s preliminary injunction barring the United States government from terminating the DACA program	Amicus brief filed April 11, 2018
<a href="#">Vidal v. Nielsen</a> (E.D. N.Y. 20180)	X			Equity & Discrimination: Amicus brief in support of motion for a preliminary injunction to bar United States government from terminating the DACA program	February 13, 2018: <a href="#">District court issued preliminary injunction</a> ordering the defendants “to maintain the DACA program on the same terms and conditions that existed prior to the promulgation of the DACA Rescission Memo, subject to the following limitations. Defendants need not consider new applications by individuals who have never before obtained DACA benefits; need not continue granting "advanced parole" to DACA beneficiaries; and, of course, may adjudicate DACA renewal requests on a case-by-case, individualized basis.”
<a href="#">L.H. v. Hamilton County Department of Education</a> (6th Cir.2018)		X		Special Education & Disabilities: What are the appropriate standards for determining whether an educational placement offers the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) for a student with a disability and whether a change in location constitutes a change in placement	Decided August 20, 2018: the Sixth Circuit Panel affirmed the federal district court’s decision that the school district segregated placement violated IDEA, but reversed the lower court’s ruling that the parent’s unilateral private school placement failed to satisfy IDEA. The panel then remanded the case to the district court “for a determination of the appropriate amount of reimbursement and issuance of a judgment consistent with this opinion.

**.S. COURTS OF APPEALS AMICUS CASES – 2017-2018 CONTINUED**

Case Name	Won	Lost	Other	Issue	Comment
<a href="#">Davison v. Rose</a> (4th Cir. 2018)			X	Legal System: Whether public office holder's private social media account constitutes a public forum for First Amendment purposes	Decided March 19, 2018: Fourth Circuit panel dismissed and remanded case to district court on the grounds that lower court had not issued a final order and, therefore, panel lacked jurisdiction.
<a href="#">R.M. v. Gilbert Unified School District</a> (9th Cir. <b>pending</b> )				Special Education & Disabilities: Whether IDEA's FAPE requirement that a student's IEP must provide educational benefit as defined by <i>Endrew F.</i> outweighs IDEA's preference for such benefit in the least restrictive environment	Amicus brief filed November 28, 2017
<a href="#">M.R. v. Ridley School District</a> (3d Cir. 2017)		X		Special Education & Disabilities: Whether parents who succeed on claim for reimbursement for private school tuition under IDEA's stay put provision are a prevail party entitled to attorneys' fees	September 26, 2017: Petition for rehearing en banc denied

**STATE APPELLATE COURTS AMICUS CASES - 2017-2018**

<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Won</b>	<b>Lost</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>Comment</b>